

Gap Year for Young Britons — No Overseas Deployments, Only Training and Pay.

Published on 23/11/2026

The British government's announcement that it will introduce a paid **"gap year" (*gap year*) in the Armed Forces** has reignited the European debate over how to **strengthen military personnel levels** and bring younger generations closer to defense culture. According to the BBC, the initiative promoted by the Ministry of Defence in London aims to offer **young people under 25** practical experience in the Army, the Royal Navy, or the RAF **without requiring a binding long-term commitment**.

Against a geopolitical backdrop marked by rising international tensions and the need for European countries to ensure their own security, the British model stands out as a **model of particular interest for Italy as well**, offering insights both in terms of recruitment and civic and professional education.

How the British model works

The program presented by Defence Secretary John Healey is inspired by a similar initiative that has been in place for more than a decade in the **Australian Defence Force (ADF)**, where it has recorded a **conversion rate of more than 50% into permanent military careers**.
In the United Kingdom, the project will begin in the spring with an initial pilot group of **150 recruits**, with the stated goal of **reaching 1,000 entrants per year**. The plan's main features include:

- **No operational deployment:** Participants will not be sent to conflict zones or active operations, making the experience a **purely educational and training program**.
- **Different tracks:** The Army provides for **13 weeks of basic training** within a two-year program, while the Navy will structure a general one-year program.
- **Transferable skills:** As emphasized by the British Ministry of Defence, the goal is not only to fill military ranks, but to provide young people with ***leadership*, teamwork, and *problem-solving* skills** that can be used in any future field of work.

The reasons for pragmatism and contrasting viewpoints

The proposal’s strength lies in its ability to **overcome the psychological and bureaucratic hurdle of multi-year enlistments**, which often deter recent high school graduates or university students uncertain about their future. Offering a **paid, temporary experience free from the risks associated with operational deployments** enables the Armed Forces to broaden their recruitment pool, reaching young people who otherwise would never have considered a military career.
However, the debate in the United Kingdom also highlights several critical issues and opposing views on the operation’s actual strategic effectiveness:

- **Supporters of its social value:** In addition to Minister Healey, who frames the initiative within a **whole-of-society approach to defense (*whole-of-society approach*)**, former Chief of the General Staff Lord Richard Dannatt told BBC Radio 4 that, while it would have only a marginal impact on immediate combat readiness, the program is highly important because it gives young people **direct exposure to the Armed Forces’ discipline and organizational capabilities**, regardless of the career they subsequently choose.

- **Opposition criticism:** The Conservative Shadow Defence Secretary, James Cartlidge, takes the opposite view, arguing that a pilot project involving 150 participants is an **inadequate response to global challenges** and does not affect the country's actual deterrence capability. He instead calls for major investment in the defense budget.

What prospects for the Italian context?

In Italy, the issue of **recruitment and the aging of Armed Forces personnel** has been at the center of institutional attention for several years. Although the country already has the Volontari in Ferma Prefissata (VFP1/VFI) system, introducing an **even more flexible model**, similar to the Anglo-Saxon *gap year* or the recent forms of voluntary service adopted by France and Germany, could represent a strategic opportunity.
An Italian trial along these lines would offer several advantages:

1. **Post-graduation guidance:** It would allow young people between the ages of 18 and 25 to undertake a **paid year of training** before university or entering the workforce, reducing youth disengagement and inactivity.
2. **Creating a qualified reserve:** Even if participants chose not to continue a career in uniform, the country would gain **citizens with basic training useful for civil protection, emergency management** and the possible establishment of an auxiliary reserve.
3. **Bringing institutions and civil society closer together:** An open, non-binding pathway would foster greater understanding of the modern role of the Armed Forces, which are increasingly engaged in **technology, logistics, and cybersecurity** as well as traditional defense.

Adapting the pragmatism of the British proposal to the Italian context would therefore mean **investing not only in the sustainability of military personnel levels, but also in a modern tool for the personal and professional development of younger generations**.

News link: https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/c62dyp9pgd2o