



# Earthquake at the Pentagon: Randy George's Resignation and Washington's New Doctrine

Published on 04/04/2026

**WASHINGTON** – The U.S. military landscape is undergoing an unprecedented transformation. **The immediate resignation of General Randy George, 41st Chief of Staff of the U.S. Army**, marks a definitive break between the current administration and the legacy of the previous Biden administration. The request for "immediate withdrawal" made by Secretary of Defense Pete Hegseth is not just a change of guard, but an unequivocal political signal: President Trump's vision for the armed forces allows no internal obstacles.

## A Succession Marked by Rupture

According to Defense Department sources, George was considered an "obstacle" to the army's development plans. He is replaced by General Christopher LaNeve, already Hegseth's military aide, a figure who guarantees the "total trust" necessary to implement the new agenda.

This dismissal is part of an ever-growing list. Under Hegseth's management, over a dozen senior officers have been removed, including **General C.Q. Brown (Joint Chiefs of Staff)** and **Admiral Lisa Franchetti**.

## Historical Precedent: When the Chief of Staff Resigns

Analyzing the precedents of resignations or removals of military leaders — topics often debated in the chronicles of *BrigataFolgore.net* for their strategic relevance — it emerges how the relationship between political power and military command has always been delicate.

Historically, the resignation of a Chief of Staff is almost never a purely technical act, but the culmination of a deep disagreement on the mission of the Armed Forces. **In Randy George's case, the mandate was supposed to naturally expire in 2027.** His forced removal evokes moments of tension experienced in past eras (consider the famous MacArthur-Truman case, albeit in an open war context, or the frictions during the Clinton/Bush era), where the "change of leadership" became the only tool to align the Pentagon with the White House.

As often highlighted in sector analyses, when a Chief of Staff leaves office before the term ends, it poses a problem of operational continuity. However, for the current administration, the concept of "transformation" prevails over stability. **The parallel removal of General David Hodne (training) and Major General William Green (chaplains)** confirms a structural change not linked to isolated incidents, like the recent case of the flight over Kid Rock's house.

## Towards an Army "More Suited to Trump's Vision"

The appointment of LaNeve suggests a return to a hierarchy based on a more traditional and, according to supporters, "patriotic" vision of defense, less tied to "diversity" policies or the bureaucratic processes of the previous management.

The message sent by the Pentagon is clear: "No punishment, no investigation. Move forward, patriots." A phrase that, on one hand, excites the political base, but on the other raises questions about the future autonomy of military leaders in relation to political decisions. It remains to be seen how this mass replacement will affect the operational readiness of the U.S. Army at a time of increasing global tensions.